

## Industrial Relations Commission New South Wales

Case Name: Re Fire and Rescue NSW Firefighting Staff (Death

and Disability) Award 2017

Medium Neutral Citation: [2018] NSWIRComm 1003

Hearing Date(s): 27 November and 20 December 2017

Date of Orders: 20 December 2017

Date of Decision: 19 January 2018

Before: Chief Commissioner Kite SC, Newall C and Murphy C.

Decision: Fire and Rescue NSW Firefighting Staff (Death and

Disability) Award 2017made in terms of Exhibit 1 in

the proceedings

Catchwords: INDUSTRIAL LAW - New South Wales - awards -

Bluescope process applied by consent – award made

Legislation Cited: Industrial Relations Act 1996

Work Health and Safety Act 2011(NSW)

Cases Cited: Re Notification under section 130 by the Minister for

Industrial Relations of a Dispute between BHP Billiton and the Australian Workers' Union NSW and others re proposed strike action [2002] NSW IRComm 378

Category: Principal judgment

Parties: Fire Brigade Employees' Union of New South Wales

(Dispute Notifier and Award Respondent)

Fire and Rescue NSW (Dispute Respondent and

Award Applicant)

Representation: Counsel:

J Nolan (Notifier) R Reitano (Applicant)

File Number(s): 2016/00035190 and 2017/00125817

**Publication Restriction:** 

## **STATEMENT**

- On 20 December 2017 the Full Bench made an award entitled Crown Employees (Fire and Rescue NSW Firefighting Staff Death and Disability) Award 2017. This Statement briefly outlines the matters which were the subject of the proceedings that led to the making of the award.
- On 3 February 2016 the Fire Brigade Employees' Union of New South Wales ("FBEU") notified the Industrial Registrar of an industrial dispute. The matter was initially allocated to Tabbaa C and subsequently to Murphy C. A number of conferences were held throughout 2016 and 2017.
- On 27 April 2017 Fire and Rescue NSW ("FRNSW") made an application for a new award entitled Crown Employees (Fire and Rescue NSW Firefighting Staff Death and Disability) Award 2017. This matter was allocated to Murphy C and was dealt with concurrently with the dispute matter.
- The main issue which divided the parties was the manner in which the proposed Firefighters' Health and Fitness Program would be implemented. Despite significant progress having been made in conciliation, it emerged that a number of seemingly intractable issues prevented ultimate agreement being reached by the parties.
- It was envisaged that the Health and Fitness Program would involve firefighters undergoing regular health checks. The fundamental issue that divided the parties concerned the amount of information which would be provided to FRNSW about the health status of individual firefighters following the regular health checks.
- During a conciliation conference before Murphy C on 11 September 2017, the parties agreed that the outstanding issues should be resolved by way of a binding conciliation process in which the parties undertook to accept the recommendation of the Commissioner. This process has become known as the "Bluescope Model" (Re Notification under section 130 by the Minister for

Industrial Relations of a Dispute between BHP Billiton and the Australian Workers' Union NSW and others re proposed strike action [2002] NSW IRComm 378).

- A timetable was set for the filing and serving of evidence and submissions. In its submissions filed on 26 September 2017, concern was expressed by FRNSW "that not being apprised of the medical condition of a firefighter (who has requirements or restrictions, or is permanently unfit) by the IOP may result in Fire & Rescue NSW failing to meet the statutory requirements of the 'Work, Health and Safety Act' and also that the identification of appropriate safety duties or work settings would not be able to occur... it appears a matter of law arises in that a possible outcome under the auspices of one statute (the Industrial Relations Act) might be inconsistent with the requirements of another (the Work Health and Safety Act)".
- In response to this submission, the FBEU forwarded correspondence to the Industrial Registrar seeking that the matters be relisted. On 3 October 2017 Murphy C convened a further compulsory conference and made directions for the filing and serving of submissions concerning the "legal issue" that had been raised and indicated that he would consult the Chief Commissioner with a view to referring that issue to a Full Bench of the Commission.
- The parties formulated questions concerning the jurisdiction of the Commission to make an award provision which potentially conflicted with the obligations of an employer under the *Work Health and Safety Act 2011*. Murphy C referred the issue to the Full Bench.
- The Full Bench convened on 27 November 2017. During those proceedings the Chief Commissioner raised the prospect with the parties of having the issue determined by the Full Bench by way of the Bluescope process. The parties agreed to that proposal and that occurred on 20 December 2017.

- As stated above, the fundamental issue that divided the parties revolved around the amount of information about an individual firefighter's health status that would be provided to FRNSW following the regular health checks.
- 12 The previous award contained the following provision:

The firefighter's nominated medical practitioner will forward their report on the firefighter's health and fitness check, together with the results, to both the firefighter and the independent occupational physician nominated by FRNSW. The independent occupational physician will in turn review the firefighter's health and fitness check results against the firefighters' health and fitness standard and the nominated medical practitioner's report and advise FRNSW that the firefighter is:

- fit to perform the firefighter's ordinary duties without any requirements or restrictions; or
- fit to perform the firefighter's ordinary duties with specified requirements or restrictions; or
- temporarily unfit to perform the firefighter's ordinary duties but fit to perform alternative duties, either with or without specified requirements or restrictions; or
- · temporarily unfit to perform any FRNSW duties; or
- permanently unfit to perform the firefighter's ordinary duties.

The independent occupational physician will provide no other information or advice to FRNSW concerning the firefighter's health and fitness without the firefighter's consent. If the firefighter disagrees with the independent occupational physician's advice to FRNSW, then it will be open to the firefighter to seek a determination of their fitness for duty under Clause 8.

- The position of FRNSW was that where a firefighter is notified as unfit by the independent occupational physician ("IOP"), either temporarily or permanently, the IOP is to also supply FRNSW with all related medical information.
- The position adopted by the FBEU was that the firefighter's nominated medical practitioner would review and discuss the firefighter's health and fitness check, together with the results, with the firefighter and advise FRNSW that the firefighter had attended and completed their required health check. No other information would be provided to FRNSW.
- The Full Bench considered the evidence of Dr Reem Mina, an occupational physician employed by FRNSW as to the need for management to have as

much information as possible about an individual firefighter's fitness for duty in order to properly manage any risks to that firefighter, his or her colleagues and the public generally.

- In support of its position, the FBEU relied upon the "Ethical Guidelines for Occupational and Environmental Physicians" prepared by the Royal Australasian and College of Physicians which emphasised the need to protect the confidentiality of information about the health status of employees.
- 17 The Full Bench determined to resolve these competing considerations by the insertion of the underlined words in the following paragraph of the provision:

The independent occupational physician will provide no other information or advice to FRNSW concerning the firefighter's health and fitness without the firefighter's consent apart from such information regarding the specified requirements or restrictions as, in the professional opinion of the independent occupational physician, is necessary for the safe management of the firefighter. If the firefighter disagrees with the independent occupational physician's advice to FRNSW, then it will be open to the firefighter to seek a determination of their fitness for duty under Clause 8.

- In the view of the Full Bench the addition of these words provides for an incremental change in award conditions which appropriately, at least at this stage, balances the right to privacy on the part of employees and the employer's need for information to meet its responsibilities to ensure the safety and welfare of its employees at work. As information is gathered in the operation of these provisions there may be a need to adjust the balance, but that consideration will be undertaken in the light of practical experience rather than theoretical possibilities.
- Following this determination by the Full Bench, all other outstanding issues as to the terms of the proposed award were resolved with the consent of the parties and the award is made in terms of Exhibit 1 in the proceedings.
- There remain some associated issues to be resolved concerning the content of the health pack and the health check questionnaire. These matters need to

be resolved by 31 March 2018 and the Full Bench has reserved 15 March 2018 to deal with any matters that remain unresolved as at that time.

\*\*\*\*\*